

This is the Post-print version of the following article: *Guillermo Vidriales-Escobar, Raul Rentería-Tamayo, Felipe Alatríste-Mondragón, Omar González-Ortega, Mathematical modeling of a composting process in a small-scale tubular bioreactor, Chemical Engineering Research and Design, Volume 120, 2017, Pages 360-371*, which has been published in final form at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2017.02.006>

© 2017. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Mathematical modeling of a composting process in a small-scale tubular bioreactor

Authors: Guillermo Vidriales-Escobar, Raul Rentería-Tamayo, Felipe Alatríste-Mondragón, Omar González-Ortega



PII: S0263-8762(17)30091-6
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.cherd.2017.02.006>
Reference: CHERD 2568

To appear in:

Received date: 25-7-2016
Revised date: 7-2-2017
Accepted date: 8-2-2017

Please cite this article as: Vidriales-Escobar, Guillermo, Rentería-Tamayo, Raul, Alatríste-Mondragón, Felipe, González-Ortega, Omar, Mathematical modeling of a composting process in a small-scale tubular bioreactor. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2017.02.006>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Mathematical modeling of a composting process in a small-scale tubular bioreactor

Guillermo Vidriales-Escobar

Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, División de Ciencias Ambientales, 2055 Camino a la Presa San José, San Luis Potosí, México, 78216.

gvidriales@ipicyt.edu.mx

Raul Rentería-Tamayo

Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, División de Ciencias Ambientales, 2055 Camino a la Presa San José, San Luis Potosí, México, 78216.

rrenteria@ipicyt.edu.mx

Felipe Alatríste-Mondragón

Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, División de Ciencias Ambientales, 2055 Camino a la Presa San José, San Luis Potosí, México, 78216.

falatríste@ipicyt.edu.mx

Omar González-Ortega (author for correspondence)

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, 6 Manuel Nava, San Luis Potosí, México, 78210, +52 444 826 2300, E-mail: omar.gonzalez@uaslp.mx

Highlights

- An easy to follow and understand model is presented to describe a composting process
- The model describes temporal and spatial variations
- The model predicts the observed behavior of composting processes
- The model allows studying the design of a small-scale bioreactor
- The model establishes if sanitization conditions can be achieved

Abstract

A mathematical model is presented to describe a composting process occurring in a tubular bioreactor. The mathematical model is based on heat and mass transfer phenomena under the continuum approximation. The bioreactor is divided into two phases: a gas phase and a solid-liquid phase. Between these phases, oxygen and heat are interchanged. In the solid-liquid phase, biomass growth occurs causing a change in substrate concentration as well as a change in temperature due to heat release from microbial activity. Thus the mathematical model is able to describe temperature and oxygen concentration profiles in the gas phase and temperature and biomass and substrate concentration profiles in the solid-liquid phase as a function of time and axial and radial positions. Useful information obtained from the model solution are the highest temperature that can be attained within the bioreactor and the length of high temperature conditions, which are important if sludge sanitization is the purpose of the composting process. Also a theoretical calculation of the logarithmic decimal reduction of *Salmonella* is presented. Using the model, a design analysis was performed to determine the effect of the main design variables in the temperature of the solid-liquid phase and the oxygen concentration in the gas phase.

Keywords

Mathematical model; sanitization bioreactor; bioreactor design; composting process

Nomenclature and units

a	Internal wall radius (m)
C	Oxygen concentration in solid-liquid phase (kg m^{-3})
C_a	Oxygen concentration in gas phase (kg kg^{-1})
C_{a0}	Initial oxygen concentration in gas (kg kg^{-1})
c_{pa}	Gas phase heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
c_{pds}	Dried solid (from sludge) heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
c_{pg}	Grass heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
c_{pw}	Water heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
c_{pwc}	Wood chips heat capacity ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
D_{eL}	Gas phase axial dispersion coefficient ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)
E_a	Activation energy for growth (J mol^{-1})
E_{ab}	Activation energy for death (J mol^{-1})
E_{as}	Activation energy for sanitization (J mol^{-1})
$h_L \bar{\alpha}$	Volumetric heat transfer coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$)
H	Axial heat transfer coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$)
H_{rx}	Enthalpy of reaction (J kg^{-1})
H^{cc}	Henry's law constant for oxygen (kg m^{-3})
k_{ds}	Dried solid (from sludge) thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_{ez}	Gas phase axial thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_{sl}	Solid-liquid phase thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_g	Grass thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_w	Water thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_{wc}	Wood chips thermal conductivity ($\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
k_0	Thermal sanitization coefficient (h^{-1})
K	Oxygen concentration inhibition constant (kg m^{-3})

K_c	Half-life kinetic constant (kg kg^{-1})
L	Reactor length (m)
n	Final viable pathogen population (-)
n_0	Initial viable pathogen population (-)
r	Radial coordinate (m)
R	Ideal gas constant ($\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
S	Substrate concentration (kg m^{-3})
S_0	Initial substrate concentration (kg m^{-3})
t	Time coordinate (h^{-1})
T_a	Temperature of the gas phase ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_{amb}	Ambient air temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_h	Maximum viable temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_m	Optimum growth temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_s	Temperature of the solid-liquid phase ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
$T_{s,max}$	Maximum temperature of the solid-liquid phase at the center of the bioreactor ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
U	Overall radial heat transfer coefficient ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$)
v_i	Interstitial gas velocity (m s^{-1})
X	Biomass concentration (kg m^{-3})
X_0	Initial biomass concentration (kg m^{-3})
$Y_{S/X}$	Substrate to biomass yield (kg kg^{-1})
$Y_{O/S}$	Oxygen to substrate yield (kg kg^{-1})
$Y_{O/X}$	Oxygen to biomass yield (kg kg^{-1})
z	Axial coordinate (m)
α_{ez}	Gas phase axial thermal diffusivity ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)
α_{sl}	Solid liquid phase thermal diffusivity ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)
ε_a	Gas volumetric fraction ($\text{m}^{-3} \text{m}^{-3}$)
ρ_a	Gas phase density (kg m^{-3})
ρ_{ds}	Dried solid (from sludge) density (kg m^{-3})
ρ_g	Grass density (kg m^{-3})
ρ_{sl}	Solid-liquid phase density (kg m^{-3})
ρ_{wc}	Wood chips density (kg m^{-3})
μ_a	Specific growth rate (s^{-1})
μ_b	Specific death rate (s^{-1})

1 Introduction

Composting represents a series of biochemical reactions in which diverse microorganisms biodegrade organic substrates in a moist, solid state under the presence of oxygen. Four phases are generally accounted for the complete composting process: mesophilic, thermophilic, cooling, and maturation phases. In the mesophilic phase the consumption of easily biodegradable compounds occurs resulting in a mild temperate rise. In the thermophilic phase biodegradation continues by thermophile microorganisms, temperature raises considerably increasing biodegradation of complex substrates with the eventual destruction of most microorganisms. In the cooling phase microorganisms able to biodegrade higher polymers such as starch or cellulose appear. In the maturation phase non-biodegradable compounds appear and the microorganisms are dominated by fungi (Fuchs, 2010; Insam and de Bertoldi, 2007).

The phases of the composting process involve many complex phenomena arising from physicochemical and biological mechanisms. Thus, a proper mathematical description that confidently describes the behavior of the composting process is a difficult task. Once the mathematical model is established, it can be used to determine if a certain bioreactor configuration will be able to behave as expected; mainly in the achievement of high and uniform temperatures. Moreover, it can be used to determine the effect of bioreactor size and process conditions; this is the feasibility of the proposed bioreactor.

In the literature several mathematical models are available to describe a part or the complete composting process. Most of these models rely on the assumption of a perfectly mixed system such that the response variables (temperature, humidity, oxygen concentration, etc.) are considered to only change in time (Mason, 2006; Petric and Mustafic, 2015). This is clearly a major simplification to batch composting systems which

ends in having lumped parameters that lose physical meaning. Some other models do not rely on this simplification and describe the response variables as a function of position and time (Nakayama et al. 2007a; Shishido and Seki, 2015). Among these a few models are really hard to follow and understand limiting their use (Bongochetsakul and Ishida, 2008; Zambra et al. 2011).

Most of the mathematical modeling, with variations in position, has been applied to describe large rectangular or trapezoidal composting systems with industrial applications (Nakayama et al. 2007a; Zambra et al. 2011). Small-scale bioreactors have been used for composting purposes but their modeling is very limited.

In this work, the mathematical description of a composting process is presented along with a design analysis for a small-scale tubular bioreactor. The model is based on mass and heat transport phenomena along with a Contois-type kinetic model as described below.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Mathematical model

The mathematical model considers the existence of two phases: a gas phase that supplies the oxygen required for the aerobic degradation of organic matter and a solid-liquid phase where the biomass resides and biodegradation occurs. Heat and oxygen are interchanged by these two phases that are described under the continuum approximation. The bioreactor is isolated to reduce energy losses. Fig. 1 shows a representation of the tubular bioreactor depicting these two phases, moist air is continuously fed at the bottom of the bioreactor. The mathematical model will be able to describe how the biomass and substrate concentrations and the temperature of the solid-liquid phase change in time and positions r and z . It will also be able to describe how the oxygen concentration and temperature change in the gas phase. The solid-liquid phase is composed of sludge, water, wood chips, and grass.

2.2 Model assumptions and considerations

For the sake of simplicity, several assumptions and considerations were established: (1) The bioreactor contains a homogeneous mixture of organic material. (2) The thermophysical properties remain constant as well as the reactor porosity. (3) The humidity of the material inside the bioreactor is constant; any loss of water from the bioreactor is compensated by the moist air fed at the entrance. (4) The reactor is symmetric with respect to the angular coordinate. (5) Oxygen consumption is mainly located at the outermost region of the solid-liquid phase. (6) Local equilibrium in the oxygen concentration exists between the gas and the solid-liquid phases. (7) Local equilibrium in temperature exists in the solid-liquid phase. (8) The movement of energy in the r -direction is characterized by a constant overall heat transfer coefficient dominated by the thermal resistance of the insulation. (9) The thermophysical properties of the gas phase are considered equal to those of air.

2.3 Growth kinetics

In any composting system, bacteria and fungi participate in the different stages of the process. Despite this, the microorganisms are considered to behave as one and thus their growth kinetics is generally treated as a whole (Kiyasudeen et al. 2016). In the growth kinetics two contributions affect the microorganisms concentration with time, a growth rate and a death rate; both dependent on their concentration (Eq. 1). Expressions similar to Eq. 1 have been used by others to describe the growth kinetics in composting processes (Hamelers, 2001; Lashermes et al. 2013; Qin et al. 2007; Tremier et al. 2005; Vasiliadou et al. 2015). Both rates are temperature-dependent and the growth rate, in addition, can encompass inhibitory conditions due to substrate concentration.

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \mu X - bX \quad (1)$$

For the case of the death coefficient, b ; the temperature dependence was considered to be Arrhenius-type (Eq. 2), which is typical for kinetic parameters (Bari et al. 2000; Hamelers, 2004).

$$b = \mu_b \exp \left[-\frac{E_{ab}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_s} - \frac{1}{T_h} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

For the case of substrate inhibition, oxygen and biodegradable substrate concentrations were considered. For the oxygen concentration inhibition a Monod-type relation was used while for the biodegradable substrate concentration a Contois-type was considered (Eq. 3). The Contois kinetics to describe growth in composting process has been used by Qin et al. (2007) and Nakayama et al. (2007b); and, recently, its theoretical foundation was described for the degradation of insoluble substrates (Wang and Li, 2014). The Monod-type relation to include the effect of oxygen concentration in the growth kinetics is also common (Higgins and Walker, 2003; Lin et al. 2008; Petric and Selimbasic, 2008b; Richard et al. 2006).

$$\mu = \alpha_1 \mu_a \frac{S}{K_c X + S} \frac{C}{K + C} \quad (3)$$

The temperature dependence of the growth rate coefficient, μ , was localized in the α_1 coefficient of Eq. 3 according to Eq. 4 (α_1 is set to 0 when $T_s > T_h$) as reported by Qin et al. (2007) and Nakayama et al. (2007b). Eq. 3 considers that α_1 increases with temperature (which translates into an increase in μ) following an Arrhenius-type behavior until reaching a value of 1.0 when $T_s = T_m$. Further increases in temperature generate a linear decrease in the value of α_1 (microbial activity is restrained due to high temperature) until reaching T_h , this behavior has been confirmed with experimental data (Rosso et al. 1993; Tremier et al. 2005).

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} \exp \left[-\frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_s} - \frac{1}{T_m} \right) \right] \\ \frac{T_h - T_s}{T_h - T_m} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

2.4 Substrate consumption kinetics

The velocity of volatile solids consumption was related to the growth kinetics using a constant substrate to biomass yield coefficient, $Y_{S/X}$, as presented in Eq. 5.

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -Y_{S/X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \quad (5)$$

With constant $Y_{S/X}$, Eq. 5 can be integrated to obtain an algebraic relation between substrate and biomass concentration in the solid-liquid phase (Eq. 6).

$$S = S_0 - Y_{S/X} (X - X_0) \quad (6)$$

2.5 Oxygen transport in the gas phase

A microscopic unsteady-state mass balance on the oxygen in the gas phase within the bioreactor yields Eq. 7.

$$\frac{\partial C_a}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial C_a}{\partial z} = D_{eL} \frac{\partial^2 C_a}{\partial z^2} + \frac{Y_{O/S}}{\varepsilon_a} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \quad (7)$$

In Eq. 7, oxygen concentration variations are considered to mainly exist in the z -direction (due to convection and dispersion processes) and the oxygen consumption is related to the substrate consumption through the oxygen to substrate yield coefficient. Also in Eq. 7, the local equilibrium condition for oxygen dissolution in the solid-liquid phase is implicit. Thus, a Henry's law constant is used to relate oxygen concentrations in the gas and solid-liquid phases (Eq. 8).

$$C = H^{cc} C_a \quad (8)$$

2.6 Energy transport in the gas phase

A microscopic unsteady-state energy balance on the gas phase within the bioreactor yields Eq. 9.

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial z} = \alpha_{ez} \frac{\partial^2 T_a}{\partial z^2} + \alpha_{ez} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{h_L \bar{a}}{\varepsilon_a \rho_a c_{pa}} (T_s - T_a) \quad (9)$$

In Eq. 9, radial and axial temperature variations are considered. For the r -direction the diffusive heat transfer process was included since the biorreactor can lose energy through its lateral surface that will result in temperature gradients. Also the convective heat transfer process in the r -direction was neglected since this process can be envisioned to mainly occur in the z -direction. The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. 9 considers the movement of energy between the gas and solid-liquid phases characterized by a constant volumetric heat transfer coefficient $h_L \bar{a}$.

2.7 Energy transport in the solid-liquid phase

A microscopic unsteady-state energy balance on the solid-liquid phase within the bioreactor yields Eq. 10.

$$\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial t} = \alpha_{sl} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 T_s}{\partial z^2} \right] + \frac{H_{rx}}{\rho_{sl} c_{psl}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{h_L \bar{a}}{\rho_{sl} c_{psl}} (T_a - T_s) \quad (10)$$

Again, in Eq. 10, temperature variations are considered for both coordinates with a uniform solid-liquid thermal diffusivity as well as the movement of energy between phases. Additionally, Eq. 10 shows the energy liberated by the microorganisms due to substrate consumption.

2.8 Initial and boundary conditions

The initial conditions for the mathematical model are:

$$@ t = 0 \quad X = X_0, S = S_0, C_a = C_{a0}, T_a = T_{amb}, T_s = T_{amb} \quad (11)$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$@ r = 0 \quad \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$@ r = a \quad -k_{er} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial r} = U(T_a - T_{amb}), -k_{sl} \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial r} = U(T_s - T_{amb}) \quad (13)$$

Where U , the overall heat transfer coefficient, is considered constant for both phases and mainly controlled by the thermal resistance of the insulation.

$$@ z = 0 \quad -k_{ez} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial z} = v_i \rho_a c_{pa} (T_{amb} - T_a), T_s = T_{amb} \quad (14)$$

$$@ z = L \quad v_i \rho_a c_{pa} T_a - k_{ez} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial z} = h(T_a - T_{amb}), -k_{sl} \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial z} = h(T_s - T_{amb}) \quad (15)$$

The boundary condition at $z = L$ for T_a considers a convective heat transfer coefficient, h , to describe the energy transport beyond the biorreactor as a simplification.

2.9 Dimensionless mathematical model

The following dimensionless variables were defined

$$\bar{X} = \frac{X}{X_0}, \bar{S} = \frac{S}{S_0}, \bar{C}_a = \frac{C_a}{C_{a0}}, \bar{T}_a = \frac{T_a}{T_{amb}}, \bar{T}_s = \frac{T_s}{T_{amb}}, \bar{t} = \frac{t}{L/v_i}, \bar{z} = \frac{z}{L}, \bar{r} = \frac{r}{a} \quad (16)$$

Where the gas phase residence time is used to scale the time coordinate. Using these dimensionless variables the mathematical model is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{Da_g \alpha_1 \bar{X} \bar{S} \bar{C}_a}{(d\bar{X} + \bar{S})(c + \bar{C}_a)} - Da_d \alpha_2 \bar{X} \quad (17)$$

$$\bar{S} = 1 - e(\bar{X} - 1) \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{C}_a}{\partial \bar{t}} + \frac{\partial \bar{C}_a}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{Pe} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}_a}{\partial \bar{z}^2} - f \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial \bar{t}} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{t}} + \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{Pe_z} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{z}^2} + \frac{1}{Pe_r} \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}} \left(\bar{r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{r}} \right) + St_{sa} (\bar{T}_s - \bar{T}_a) \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{Pe_{sr}} \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}} \left(\bar{r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{r}} \right) + \frac{1}{Pe_{sz}} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{z}^2} - g \frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial \bar{t}} + St_{as} (\bar{T}_a - \bar{T}_s) \quad (21)$$

Where several dimensionless numbers appear as parameters of the model, these are defined as:

$$Da_g = \frac{L}{v_i} \mu_a, Da_d = \frac{L}{v_i} \mu_b, c = \frac{K}{H^{cc} C_{a0}}, d = K_c \frac{X_0}{S_0}, e = Y_{S/X} \frac{X_0}{S_0}, Pe = \frac{v_i L}{D_{eL}}, f = \frac{Y_{O/X} X_0}{\varepsilon_a C_{a0}}, Pe_z = \frac{v_i L}{\alpha_{ez}}, \\ Pe_r = \frac{v_i a^2}{\alpha_{er} L}, St_{sa} = \frac{h_L \bar{a} L}{\varepsilon_a \rho_a c_{pa} v_i}, Pe_{sr} = \frac{v_i a^2}{\alpha_{sl} L}, Pe_{sz} = \frac{v_i L}{\alpha_{sl}}, g = \frac{Y_{S/X} H_{rx} S_0}{\rho_{sl} c_{psl} T_{amb}}, St_{as} = \frac{h_L \bar{a} L}{\rho_{sl} c_{psl} v_i} \quad (22)$$

The initial and boundary conditions change to

$$@ \bar{t} = 0 \quad \bar{X} = 1, \bar{S} = 1, \bar{C}_a = 1, \bar{T}_a = 1, \bar{T}_s = 1 \quad (23)$$

$$@ \bar{r} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{r}} = \frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{r}} = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$@ \bar{r} = 1 \quad -\frac{1}{Bi_r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{r}} = \bar{T}_a - 1, -\frac{1}{Bi_{sr}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{r}} = \bar{T}_s - 1 \quad (25)$$

$$@ \bar{z} = 0 \quad -\frac{1}{Pe_z} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{z}} = 1 - \bar{T}_a, \bar{T}_s = 1 \quad (26)$$

$$@ \bar{z} = 1 \quad \bar{T}_a - \frac{1}{Pe_z} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_a}{\partial \bar{z}} = St(\bar{T}_a - 1), -\frac{1}{Bi_{sz}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial \bar{z}} = \bar{T}_s - 1 \quad (27)$$

Where

$$\text{Bi}_r = \frac{Ua}{k_{er}}, \text{Bi}_{sr} = \frac{Ua}{k_{sl}}, \text{Bi}_{sz} = \frac{hL}{k_{sl}}, \text{St} = \frac{h}{\rho_a c_{pa} v_i} \quad (28)$$

2.10 Input data

Table 1 shows the input data for the mathematical model, these values were gathered from the literature, calculated, or assumed. For initial calculations the ratio between the length of the reactor, L , and its radius was set to 20; with a set to 5 in. For the initial oxygen concentration, air saturated with water at 25°C was considered. The heat capacity of the solid-liquid phase was estimated as the average of the heat capacities of its four components, the same was performed for the thermal conductivity and density. The axial heat transfer coefficient, h , was considered as higher than the value estimated using a correlation for natural convection (1.9-3.4 W m⁻² K⁻¹) (Sahraoui et al. 1990). The Henry's law constant is an average of values at 25 and 60°C according to Sander (Sander, 2015). The gas phase axial dispersion coefficient was considered as one order of magnitude smaller than the oxygen diffusivity in saturated air (2.14×10⁻⁵ m² s⁻¹) (Cornell Waste Management Institute, 1996). The gas phase axial thermal conductivity, k_{ez} , was considered as equal to the effective thermal conductivity of quiescent air at 25°C (0.15 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) (Krupiczka, 1967) while k_{er} was considered slightly smaller. The oxygen concentration inhibition constant was set to 0.0015 kg m⁻³ which is the same order of magnitude of the product $C_{a0}H^{cc}$. The volumetric heat transfer coefficient was set to 400 W m⁻³ K⁻¹ since the value of h_L was calculated as 2.4 W m⁻² K⁻¹ for a particle diameter of 2 cm with specific area of 177 m² m⁻³ (Bergman et al. 2011).

The substrate to mass yield was set to 2.0 (Hamelers, 2004; Nakayama et al. 2007a; Qin et al. 2007) and the half-life kinetic constant, K_c , to 10 since according to Nakayama et al. (2007b) the ratio $K_c/Y_{S/X} = 4\sim 20$. For the gas phase velocity, a value of 0.4 L min⁻¹ kg⁻¹ was used (Kulcu and Yaldiz, 2004); which results in 4.13×10⁻⁴ m s⁻¹ for the interstitial velocity under the initial input data. A value of 0.7 kg kg⁻¹ was used for the oxygen to substrate yield according to Petric and Selimbasic (2008b). The gas phase volumetric fraction was an average of values reported by Annan and White (1998). The activation energy for growth was an average of values reported by Nakayama et al. (29000 J mol⁻¹) (2007a) and McKinley and Vestal (58600 J mol⁻¹) (1984). For the case of the activation energy for death a value of 52000 J mol⁻¹ was used since it has to be larger than the value for growth. The specific growth rate was set to 5.5×10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ which is an average of values reported by Sole-Mauri et al. (3.89×10⁻⁵ s⁻¹) (2007) and Hamelers (7.0×10⁻⁵ s⁻¹) (2004) for composting processes. The specific death rate was selected such that $\alpha_1\mu_a > b$ for any temperature, thus μ_b was assumed as 1.0×10⁻⁵ s⁻¹. Since it is considered that the bioreactor is isolated to reduce energy losses in the r -direction, the overall radial heat transfer coefficient was considered as being lower than the heat transfer coefficient for natural convection at a surface temperature of 60°C calculated using the correlation of Churchill and Chu (4.3 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) (1975).

2.11 Model solution

The dimensionless mathematical model, Eq. 17 to 28, was solved using the method of lines (Davis, 1984). The axial and radial coordinates were discretized using 2nd order finite differences while ODE15s from Matlab® was used for integration in time of the system of ordinary differential equations. 21 nodes were used in the r -direction while 49 were used in the z -direction. The number of nodes was previously determined to be sufficient to obtain non-oscillating, non-changing solutions.

2.12 Sanitization

Once the mathematical model is solved, the temperature profile of the solid-liquid phase, where the microorganisms exist; will be known and a theoretical analysis can be performed for the desired sanitization. For this a classical first order decay model was considered with *Salmonella* as the model pathogen, the logarithmic decimal reduction was estimated using Eq. 29 (Haug, 1993).

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{n}{n_0}\right) = -\frac{1}{2.303} \int_0^t k_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_{as}}{RT_s}\right) dt \quad (29)$$

This logarithmic decimal reduction was calculated for each point (discretization node) within the bioreactor. Afterwards, the percentage of nodes where the reduction stayed below 10 was estimated. For calculations the values reported by Gea et al. (2007) were used, $k_0 = 5.058 \times 10^{68} \text{ h}^{-1}$ and $E_{as} = 430115 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Model simulation results

The mathematical model is able to predict concentration profiles for biomass, substrate, and oxygen concentrations in the solid-liquid phase as well as temperature profiles for both phases. Fig. 2 shows temperature profiles for the solid-liquid phase as a function of time. This temperature profile is the most important prediction of the model since it establishes the maximum temperature that can be attained in the bioreactor as well as the length of high temperature conditions. This information is critical if sludge sanitization is pursued.

According to Fig. 2, the temperature of the solid-liquid phase rapidly increases resulting in the development of a highest temperature region close to the bioreactor entrance; the highest temperature reached within the reactor was 65.6°C at 17 h localized at the center of the bioreactor and 0.5 m in the z -direction. Analyzing this high temperature point within the reactor, the length of high temperature (LHT) conditions (temperature above 50°C) lasts for 144 h. It is interesting to compare experimental data from the literature for composting processes. Table 2 shows the highest temperature achieved within the bioreactor, from literature, as well as the length of high temperature conditions. From the data shown in Table 2, it is clear that the proposed mathematical model behaves as expected with respect to the temperature within the bioreactor when composting processes are occurring.

Fig. 3 shows the average temperature (for the whole bioreactor) of the solid-liquid phase. This average temperature is higher than 50°C for a period of 110 h and the highest standard deviation for the same period is in the $6.2\text{-}7.5^\circ\text{C}$ range. Temperature uniformity within the bioreactor is a desired condition to avoid low temperature regions where pathogens could continue to grow avoiding a proper sanitization of the material in the bioreactor.

The temperature profile behavior shown in Fig 3 is representative for each point within the bioreactor; the temperature in the solid-liquid phase rapidly increases at the beginning of the simulation reaching average temperatures greater than 60°C after 17 h. After this time, the temperature increases slightly since the optimum growth temperature was fixed at 60°C ; this promotes a decrease in the maximum growth rate of the microorganisms. When the temperature decreases below 60°C , the growth is once again favored. The temperature will continue decreasing since the amount of heat released by the microorganisms is less than the amount lost to the ambient air. The behavior shown in Fig. 3 is typical for composting processes.

Fig. 4 shows the predicted oxygen concentration profiles in the gas phase within the bioreactor. Since oxygen is being fed at $z = 0$, the highest oxygen concentration always exists close to this position. At the beginning of the simulation ($t < 10 \text{ h}$), the oxygen concentration is being reduced with a higher consumption close to $z = L$. Once the temperature rises above 60°C , the oxygen concentration profiles notably change since the biomass growth is reduced. Within the bioreactor, regions where the temperature has not reached 60°C show higher oxygen consumption. When the temperature reaches values below 60°C oxygen consumption continues but at slower rates. Fig. 5 shows the oxygen concentration profiles in the gas phase at the center of the bioreactor. Here, it is more evident that at the beginning of the simulation, higher biomass growth rate occurs resulting in a rapid drop of oxygen concentration. Afterwards the oxygen concentration starts to increase when temperatures above 60°C are reached, when the bioreactor cools below 60°C ; the biomass growth rate increases again dropping once again the oxygen concentration but at a slower rate. When the biomass growth rate decreases due to substrate availability, the oxygen concentration starts to rise. The behavior shown in Fig. 5, in which two regions of dropping oxygen concentrations appear, has been previously reported by VanderGheynst et al. (1997) and Mohee et al. (1998). Shishido and Seki (2015) also show oxygen concentration profiles with analogous behavior and their proposed mathematical model also behaves similarly.

3.2 The design process

The main aspect of any mathematical model intended to describe a phenomenon is helping the design process. For the case of the bioreactor a study can be performed using the simulation results to determine the behavior, mainly of the solid-liquid phase temperature profile, when the design variables are changed. For a fixed reactor length it is desirable to know how changes in the bioreactor radius or the air velocity affect the temperature profiles. Moreover the model allows changing the initial substrate and biomass concentrations predicting their importance in the sanitization process.

Fig. 6 shows temperature profiles of the solid-liquid phase as a function of the bioreactor radius for a fixed air volumetric flow rate (75 L h^{-1}). According to Fig. 6, high temperature conditions are favored if the radius increases. Since the air volumetric flow rate entering the bioreactor is fixed, an increase in the bioreactor radius will decrease the air interstitial velocity. The changes in the temperature profiles of the solid-liquid phase are mainly promoted by an increase in the Da_g number that will favor the growth of the microorganisms releasing a higher amount of heat from biochemical reactions, despite the fact that increasing the bioreactor radius increases the area for heat transfer to the ambient air. Moreover the Pe_{sr} number remains unchanged since the product a^2v_i is constant. The simulation run using data from Table 1 estimated that 4.5% of the nodes used to discretize the mathematical model had a logarithm decimal reduction below 10, this percentage increased to 100% for a smaller bioreactor and decreased to 2.7% for the larger one. The coldest nodes, without considering the nodes located at the entrance of the bioreactor, are localized near the bioreactor surface.

Changes in the length of the bioreactor did not modify the solid-liquid phase temperature profiles since $Pe_{sz} \gg Pe_{sr} > 1.0$, moreover the balance between growth and death is unchanged since Da_g/Da_d is constant and equal to 5.5. This also implies that the oxygen concentration in the solid-liquid phase is not a limiting factor. Under the studied conditions, changes in v_i also did not modify the solid-liquid phase temperature profiles since the changes in the model are equivalent to changes induced by the variation in L . When the oxygen concentration in the solid-liquid phase becomes a limiting factor, changes in the solid-liquid phase temperature profiles will be expected.

When loading the material into a bioreactor for composting process, it is possible to change the initial amount of sludge and the initial amount of substrate for biodegradation. Thus the behavior of the model was analyzed for variations in S_0 and X_0 . Fig. 7 and 8 show the predicted solid-liquid phase temperature profiles for changes in S_0 and X_0 , respectively. An increase in the initial substrate concentration favors the highest temperature than can be reached and the length of high temperature conditions since more substrate is available for biodegradation. From Fig. 7, it is clear that the model prediction is highly sensitive to the initial substrate concentration. Increasing the initial substrate concentration increases the g number and reduces the d number; the latter will promote higher changes in biomass concentration while the former, in conjunction with the latter, will promote higher changes in the solid-liquid phase temperature. According to Eq. 29, 100% of the nodes within the bioreactor showed logarithm decimal reduction below 10 for the lowest initial concentration of substrate while significant reduction (2.0%) was achieved for the highest initial substrate concentration.

For the case of changes in the initial biomass concentration, Fig. 8 shows that increasing this concentration results in lower maximum temperatures and shorter periods of high temperature conditions. Since for this simulation the initial substrate concentration is fixed to 18 kg m^{-3} , an increase in initial biomass concentration will not favor the composting process if the substrate concentration is limiting the development of the sanitization. This is the case for the studied conditions, thus the ratio S_0/X_0 is important for design considerations. If the purpose of the bioreactor is to sanitize sludge, a compromise emerges since it is desirable to treat as much sludge as possible but without obtaining low temperature conditions. With respect to sanitization, even though; for the case of $X_0 = 3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, the temperature at the center of the reached 50°C ; the logarithmic decimal reduction was below 10 for 98% of the discretization nodes. This value decreased to 2.0% when the smallest initial concentration of biomass was considered.

3.3 Sensitivity analysis

Aside from the design variables, several parameters exist in the model and a sensitivity analysis was carried out to determine the magnitude of their effect in the temperature of the solid-liquid phase and the oxygen concentration of the gas phase. For this, each parameter was independently changed by +50% and -50% of its original value (except for E_a and E_{ab} that were changed $\pm 20\%$ to avoid a situation in which death prevails over growth) and an F -test was performed on the profiles at the center of the bioreactor. The results are shown in Table 3 with $F_{crit} = 3.0$, additionally Fig. 9 shows the maximum temperatures ($T_{s,max}$) and the duration length of temperatures above 50°C (LHT). For the simulation using the original values for the parameters (Table 1), $T_{s,max}$ is equal to 65.6°C while LHT is equal to 144.4 h.

Both the solid-liquid phase temperature and the oxygen concentration in the gas phase resulted insensitive to changes in h and $h_L \bar{a}$. Both are coefficients associated to heat transfer processes, the first is used to estimate the energy transport at the end of the bioreactor while the second is used to estimate the energy transport between the solid-liquid and gas phases. The value of h affects the Bi_{sz} and St numbers, nonetheless their values are always greater than 10; which implies that the temperature rapidly decreases approaching T_{amb} at the exit of the bioreactor.

Also the temperature of the solid-liquid phase was insensitive to changes in $Y_{O/S}$. In most of the changes in value of the studied parameters, $T_{s,max}$ remains at values higher than 60°C ; nonetheless the duration length of high temperature conditions is greatly modified.

From all the parameters that influence the mathematical model response, the parameter K affects the less. This situation can be associated to the oxygen concentration profiles that imply that the oxygen concentration in the solid-liquid phase does not fall considerably upon oxygen consumption by the biomass. Thus high aeration conditions exist under the studied conditions.

A change in the value of K_c greatly influences the value of LHT. A reduction in its value increases the value of LHT, this can be associated to the relation between K_c and the substrate. According to Wang and Li (2014) K_c is related to how much the substrate surface is covered by microorganisms. A high coverage represents a smaller value of K_c and thus an increase in LHT is produced.

The case of the overall radial heat transfer coefficient, U , needs to be considered as a design variable related to the isolation used for the bioreactor. Its value will be dominated by the thermal resistance of the material used for the isolation, thus an increase in U will be related to a decrease in the isolation thickness. According to Fig. 9 a change in its value did not change, significantly, the maximum temperature attained but this not holds true for the value of LHT, which significantly increases with a reduction in the value of U . This behavior can also be associated to the value of Bi_r and Bi_{sr} that for any studied change remained close to 1.0.

The specific growth and death rates greatly influence the temperature profile. The observed results are expected, a high value of μ_a with a small value of μ_b favored biomass growth increasing the value of LHT. The activation energies for growth, E_a , and death, E_{ab} , also changed the model output as expected. A small value of E_a combined with a large value of E_{ab} favored biomass growth.

4 Conclusions

A mathematical model based in the continuum approximation to describe heat and mass transport phenomena occurring in a tubular bioreactor during a composting process was developed. As constitutive equation, a Contois-type kinetic model was used to simulate the biomass growth considering a Monod-type relation to include the effect of oxygen concentration. The model considered the description of: temperature and oxygen concentration profiles in the gas phase and temperature and oxygen, biomass, and substrate concentration profiles in the solid-liquid phase. These profiles were described as a function of time considering also radial and axial variations.

The model predictions were in accordance with results reported in the literature for composting processes. The model was used to determine the effect of the design variables with the next general results: use a wide bioreactor, the initial substrate concentration has to be enough for biomass growth and the initial biomass concentration should be small for a fixed initial substrate concentration, the bioreactor insulation is critical, and the length of the bioreactor as well as the interstitial velocity of the fed air are not significant under the studied conditions. This holds true if a high value of logarithmic decimal reduction is pursued.

A sensitivity analysis allows determining the parameters that influence the most the predicted concentration and temperature profiles, especially in the maximum temperature that can be attained in the solid-liquid phase and the length of high temperature conditions.

Acknowledgements

OGO acknowledges funding from FAI (C15-FAI-04-35.35).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Ahn, H., Sauer, T.J., Richard, T.L., Glanville, T.D., 2009. Determination of thermal properties of composting bulking materials. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100, 3974-3981.

Annan, J.S., White, R.K., 1998. Evaluation of techniques for measuring air filled porosity in composts of municipal biosolids and wood chips, in: Das, K.C., Graves, E.F. (Eds.), *Composting in the Southeast*, The University of Georgia, USA.

Bari, Q.H., Koenig, A., Guide, T., 2000. Kinetic analysis of forced aeration composting - I. Reaction rates and temperature. *Waste Manage. Res.* 18, 303-312.

Bergman, T.L., Lavine, A.S., Incropera, F.P., Dewitt, D.P., 2011. *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer*, seventh ed. John Wiley & Sons, USA.

Bongochgetsakul, N., Ishida, T., 2008. A new analytical approach to optimizing the design of large-scale composting systems. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 1630-1641.

Briski, F., Horgas, N., Vukovic, M., Gomzi, Z., 2003. Aerobic composting of tobacco industry solid waste - simulation of the process. *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy* 5, 295-301.

Churchill, S.W., Chu, H.H.S., 1975. Correlating equations for laminar and turbulent free convection from a vertical plate. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* 18, 1323-1329.

Cornell Waste Management Institute, 1996. Calculating the oxygen diffusion coefficient in air. <http://compost.css.cornell.edu/oxygen/oxygen.diff.air.html#txt> (accessed 19.02.16).

Davis, M.E., 1984. *Numerical Methods and Modeling for Chemical Engineers*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Fuchs, J.G., 2010. Interactions between beneficial and harmful microorganisms: From the composting process to compost application, in: Insam, H., Franke-Whittle, I., Goberna, M. (Eds.), *Microbes at Work*. Springer, New York, pp. 213-229.

Ge, J., Huang, G., Huang, J., Zeng, J., Han, L., 2015. Modeling of oxygen uptake rate evolution in pig manure-wheat straw aerobic composting process. *Chem. Eng. J.* 276, 29-36.

Gea, T., Barrena, R., Artola, A., Sanchez, A., 2007. Optimal bulking agent particle size and usage for heat retention and disinfection in domestic wastewater sludge composting. *Waste Manage.* 27, 1108-1116.

Hamelers, H.V.M., 2001. A mathematical model for composting kinetics. Doctoral dissertation, Wageningen University.

Hamelers, H.V.M., 2004. Modeling composting kinetics: A review of approaches. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol.* 3, 331-342.

Haug, R.T., 1993. *The Practical Handbook of Compost Engineering*, Lewis Publishers, Florida

- Higgins, C.W., Walker, L.P., 2003. Validation of a new model for aerobic organic solids decomposition: simulations with substrate specific kinetics. *Process Biochem.* 36, 875-884.
- Insam, H., de Bertoldi, M., 2007. Microbiology of the composting process, in: Diaz, L.F., de Bertoldi, M., Bidlingmaier, W., Stentiford, E. (Eds.), *Compost Science and Technology*. Elsevier, The Netherlands, pp. 25-48.
- Kiyasudeen, S.K., Ibrahim, M.H., Quaik, S., Ismail, A.S., 2016. *Prospects of organic waste management and the significance of earthworms*, Springer, Switzerland.
- Krupiczka, R., 1967. Analysis of thermal conductivity in granular materials. *Int. J. Chem. Eng.* 7, 22-144.
- Kulcu, R., Yaldiz, O., 2004. Determination of aeration rate and kinetics of composting some agricultural wastes. *Bioresour. Technol.* 93, 49-57.
- Lashermes, G., Zhang, Y., Houot, S., Steyer, J.P., Patureau, D., Barriuso, E., Garnier, P., 2013. Simulation of organic matter and pollutant evolution during composting: The COP-compost model. *J. Environ. Qual.* 42, 361-372.
- Lin, Y.P., Huang, G.H., Lu, H.W., He, L., 2008. Modeling of substrate degradation and oxygen consumption in waste composting processes. *Waste Manage.* 28, 1375-1385.
- Mason, I.G., 2006. Mathematical modelling of the composting process: A review. *Waste Manage.* 26, 3-21.
- McKinley, V.L., Vestal, J.R., 1984. Biokinetic analyses of adaptation and succession: Microbial activity in composting municipal sewage sludge. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47, 933-941.
- Mohee, R., White, R.K., Das, K.C., 1998. Simulation model for composting cellulosic (bagasse) substrates. *Compost Sci. Util.* 6, 82-92.
- Nakayama, A., Kuwahara, F., Sano, Y., Nakasaki, K., Fukazawa, T., 2007a. A numerical modeling of composting process with aeration. *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Porous Media Appl. Sci. Eng.* 17-21.
- Nakayama, A., Nakasaki, K., Kuwahara, F., Sano, Y., 2007b. A lumped parameter heat transfer analysis for composting processes with aeration. *Trans. ASME* 129, 902-906.
- Petric, I., Mustafic, N., 2015. Dynamic modeling the composting process of the mixture of poultry manure and wheat straw. *J. Environ. Manage.* 161, 392-401.
- Petric, I., Selimbasic, V., 2008a. Composting of poultry manure and wheat straw in a closed reactor: optimum mixture ratio and evolution of parameters. *Biodegradation* 19, 53-63.
- Petric, I., Selimbasic, V., 2008b. Development and validation of mathematical model for aerobic composting process. *Chem. Eng. J.* 139, 304-317.
- Qin, X., Huang, G., Zeng, G., Chakma, A., Xi, B., 2007. A fuzzy composting process model. *Air Waste Manage. Assoc.* 57, 535-550.
- Richard, T.L., Walker, L.P., Gossett, J.M., 2006. Effects of oxygen on aerobic solid-state biodegradation kinetics. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 22, 60-69.
- Rosso, L., Lobry, J.R., Flandrois, J.P., 1993. An unexpected correlation between cardinal temperatures of microbial growth highlighted by a new model. *J. Theor. Biol.* 162, 447-463.
- Sahraoui, M., Kaviany, M., Marshall, H., 1990. Natural convection from horizontal disks and rings. *Trans. ASME* 112, 110-116.

- Sander, R., 2015. Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 4399-4981.
- Shishido, T., Seki, H., 2015. Laboratory-scale experiment for an active-stage composting process under the same material and operating conditions. *J. Agric. Meteorol.* 71, 111-123.
- Sole-Mauri, F., Illa, J., Magri, A., Prenafeta-Boldu, F.X., Flotats, X., 2007. An integrated biochemical and physical model for the composting process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 98, 3278-3293.
- Tremier, A., de Guardia, A., Massiani, C., Paul, E., Martel, J.L., 2005. A respirometric method for characterising the organic composition and biodegradation kinetics and the temperature influence on the biodegradation kinetics, for a mixture of sludge and bulking agent to be co-composted. *Bioresour. Technol.* 96, 169-180.
- VanderGheynst, J.S., Gossett, J.M., Walker, L.P., 1997. High-solids aerobic decomposition: pilot-scale reactor development and experimentation. *Process Biochem.* 32, 361-375.
- Vasiliadou, I.A., Chowdhury, A.K., Akratos, C.S., Tekerlekopoulou, A.G., Pavlou, S., Vayenas, D.V., 2015. Mathematical modeling of olive mill waste composting process. *Waste Manage.* 43, 61-71.
- Wang, Z., Li, Y., 2014. A theoretical derivation of the Contois equation for kinetic modeling of the microbial degradation on insoluble substrates. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 82, 134-138.
- Weppen, P., 2001. Process calorimetry on composting of municipal organic wastes. *Biomass Bioenergy* 21, 289-299.
- Zambra, C.E., Moraga, N.O., Escudey, M., 2011. Heat and mass transfer in unsaturated porous media: Moisture effects in compost piles self-heating. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* 54, 2801-2810.

Fig. 1 Studied bioreactor showing the gas and solid-liquid phases




Fig. 2 Solid-liquid phase temperature profiles for different periods. A) 2.5 h, B) 5 h, C) 10 h, D) 20 h, E) 40 h, F) 80 h, G) 140 h, H) 250 h





Fig. 3 Solid-liquid phase average temperature

Fig. 4 Gas phase dimensionless oxygen concentration profiles for different periods. A) 2.5 h, B) 5 h, C) 10 h, D) 20 h, E) 40 h, F) 80 h, G) 140 h, H) 250 h





Fig. 5 Dimensionless oxygen concentration in the gas phase at the center of the bioreactor


Fig. 6 Solid-liquid phase temperature at the center of the bioreactor as a function of changes in a 


Fig. 7 Solid-liquid phase temperature at the center of the bioreactor as a function of S_0 



Fig. 8 Solid-liquid phase temperature at the center of the bioreactor as a function of X_0 

Fig. 9 Effect of the mathematical model parameters on $T_{s,max}$ and LHT






Fig 10

Table 1 Input data for the mathematical model

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
a	0.127	m	
C_{a0}	0.2275	kg kg ⁻¹	Calculated
c_{pa}	1007	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	
c_{pds}	1020	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
c_{pg}	1520	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
c_{psl}	2122	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Calculated
c_{pw}	4188	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	
c_{pwc}	1760	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
D_{eL}	2.14×10^{-6}	m ² s ⁻¹	Assumed
E_a	41250	J mol ⁻¹	Calculated
E_{ab}	52000	J mol ⁻¹	Assumed
h	5	W m ⁻² K ⁻¹	Assumed
$h_L \bar{a}$	400	W m ⁻³ K ⁻¹	Calculated
H_{rx}	1.99×10^7	J kg ⁻¹	Weppen, 2001
H^{cc}	0.029	kg m ⁻³	Sander, 2015
k_{ds}	0.12	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
k_{ez}	0.15	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Calculated
k_{ez}	0.13	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Assumed
k_{sl}	0.21	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Calculated
k_g	0.05	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
k_w	0.6	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	
k_{wc}	0.06	W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ahn et al. 2009
K	0.0015	kg m ⁻³	Assumed
K_c	10	-	Nakayama et al. 2007b
L	2.54	m	
R	8.314	J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	
S_o	18	kg m ⁻³	Assumed
T_{amb}	25	°C	
T_h	80	°C	Nakayama et al. 2007b
T_m	60	°C	Nakayama et al. 2007b
U	2.2	W m ⁻² K ⁻¹	Assumed
v_i	4.13×10^{-4}	m s ⁻¹	Kulcu and Yaldiz, 2004
X_o	2	kg m ⁻³	Assumed
$Y_{S/X}$	2	kg kg ⁻¹	Hamelers, 2004
$Y_{O/S}$	0.7	kg kg ⁻¹	Petric and Selimbasic, 2008b
$Y_{O/X}$	$Y_{S/X} Y_{O/S}$		
α_{ez}	$k_{ez}/\rho_a c_{pa}$	m ² s ⁻¹	
α_{sl}	$k_{sl}/\rho_{sl} c_{psl}$	m ² s ⁻¹	
ϵ_a	0.41	-	Calculated
ρ_a	1.2	kg m ⁻³	
ρ_{ds}	749.6	kg m ⁻³	Ahn et al. 2009
ρ_g	216	kg m ⁻³	Ahn et al. 2009
ρ_{sl}	526	kg m ⁻³	Calculated
ρ_{wc}	150	kg m ⁻³	Ahn et al. 2009
μ_a	5.5×10^{-5}	s ⁻¹	Calculated
μ_b	1.0×10^{-5}	s ⁻¹	Assumed

Table 2 Maximum temperature and length of high temperature conditions

$T_{s,max}$ [°C]	LHT [h]	Reference
66	145	Shishido and Seki, 2015
65	130	Qin et al. 2007
60	120	Bari et al. 2000
65	89	Lin et al. 2008
64	96	Petric and Selimbasic, 2008b
67	170	Sole-Mauri et al. 2007
64	156	Briski et al. 2003
68	132	Ge et al. 2015
63	86	Petric and Selimbasic, 2008a
59	170	VanderGheynst et al. 1997
66	144	This work

Table 3 *F*-test for the sensitivity analysis

Parameter	<i>F</i>	
	T_s	C_a
E_a	70.1	76.1
E_{ab}	282.2	212.2
h	0.0	0.0
$h_L\bar{a}$	0.0	0.0
K	18.4	13.1
K_c	897.5	211.3
U	182.3	5.7
$Y_{O/S}$	0.0	289.6
$Y_{S/X}$	271.2	144.5
μ_a	871.2	433.3
μ_b	454.2	212.4