This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article: Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F. T., Gallardo, A., Eldridge, D. J., Soliveres, S., Bowker, M. A., Prado-Comesaña, A., Gaitán, J., Quero, J. L., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B., García-Gómez, M., García-Palacios, P., Berdugo, M., Valencia, E., Escolar, C., Arredondo, T., Barraza-Zepeda, C., Boeken, B. R., Bran, D., Cabrera, O., Carreira, J. A., Chaieb, M., Conceição, A. A., Derak, M., Ernst, R., Espinosa, C. I., Florentino, A., Gatica, G., Ghiloufi, W., Gómez-González, S., Gutiérrez, J. R., Hernández, R. M., Huber-Sannwald, E., Jankju, M., Mau, R. L., Miriti, M., Monerris, J., Morici, E., Muchane, M., Naseri, K., Pucheta, E., Ramírez, E., Ramírez-Collantes, D. A., Romão, R. L., Tighe, M., Torres, D. , Torres-Díaz, C., Val, J., Veiga, J. P., Wang, D., Yuan, X. and Zaady, E. (2016), Global change drivers differentially alter N availability. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 25: 36-45, which has been published in final form at: https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12382 This article may be used for noncommercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

### 1 Human impacts and aridity differentially alter soil N availability in drylands worldwide

Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo<sup>1,2</sup>, Fernando T. Maestre<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Gallardo<sup>3</sup>, Matthew A. Bowker<sup>4</sup>, 2 Santiago Soliveres<sup>1,5</sup>, David J. Eldridge<sup>6</sup>, Ana Prado-Comesaña<sup>3</sup>, Juan Gaitán<sup>7</sup>, José L. Quero<sup>8</sup>, 3 Victoria Ochoa<sup>1</sup>, Beatriz Gozalo<sup>1</sup>, Miguel García-Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Pablo García-Palacios<sup>1,9</sup>, Miguel 4 Berdugo<sup>1</sup>, Enrique Valencia-Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Escolar<sup>1</sup>, Tulio Arredondo<sup>10</sup>, Claudia Barraza-5 Zepeda<sup>11</sup>, Bertrand Boeken<sup>12</sup>, Donaldo Bran<sup>7</sup>, Omar Cabrera<sup>13</sup>, José A. Carreira<sup>14</sup>, Mohamed 6 Chaieb<sup>15</sup>, Abel A. Conceição<sup>16</sup>, Mchich Derak<sup>17</sup>, Ricardo Ernst<sup>18</sup>, Carlos I. Espinosa<sup>13</sup>, Adriana 7 Florentino<sup>19</sup>, Gabriel Gatica<sup>20</sup>, Wahida Ghiloufi<sup>15</sup>, Susana Gómez-González<sup>21</sup>, Julio R. 8 Gutiérrez<sup>11,22,23</sup>, Rosa M. Hernández<sup>24</sup>, Elisabeth Huber-Sannwald<sup>10</sup>, Mohammad Jankju<sup>25</sup>, Rebecca 9 L. Mau<sup>26</sup>, Maria Miriti<sup>27</sup>, Jorge Monerris<sup>28</sup>, Ernesto Morici<sup>18</sup>, Muchai Muchane<sup>29</sup>, Kamal Naseri<sup>25</sup>, 10 Eduardo Pucheta<sup>20</sup>, Elizabeth Ramírez<sup>24</sup>, David A. Ramírez-Collantes<sup>30</sup>, Roberto L. Romão<sup>16</sup>, 11 Matthew Tighe<sup>31</sup>, Duilio Torres<sup>32</sup>, Cristian Torres-Díaz<sup>21</sup>, James Val<sup>33</sup>, José P. Veiga<sup>34</sup>, Deli Wang<sup>35</sup>, 12 Xia Yuan<sup>35</sup>, Eli Zaady<sup>36</sup>. 13

14

1. Área de Biodiversidad y Conservación, Departamento de Biología y Geología, Escuela Superior
 de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Calle Tulipán Sin Número,

17 28933 Móstoles, Spain.

18 2. Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, University of Western Sydney, Penrith, 2751, New19 South Wales, Australia.

20 3. Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide,

- 21 Carretera de Utrera kilómetro 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain.
- 22 4. School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA.
- 23 5. Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Alterbengrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland.
- 24 6. School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales,
- 25 Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia.

26 7. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Estación Experimental San Carlos de Bariloche,

- 27 Casilla de Correo 277 (8400), Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina.
- 28 8. Departamento de Ingeniería Forestal, Campus de Rabanales Universidad de Córdoba, Carretera
- 29 N- km. 396, 14071 Córdoba, Spain.
- 30 9. Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle & Evolutive, CEFE-CNRS, 1919 route de Mende, Montpellier
- 31 34293, France.
- 32 10. División de Ciencias Ambientales, Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica,
- 33 Código Postal 78210 San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí Mexico.
- 34 11. Departamento de Biología, Universidad de La Serena, Casilla 599, La Serena, Chile.

- 35 12. The Wyler Department of Dryland Agriculture. University of the Negev, Sede Boqer Campus,
- 36 Midreshet Ben-Gurion, 84990, Israel.
- 37 13. Departamento de Ciencias Naturales. Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja, San Cayetano
- 38 Alto, Marcelino Champagnat, Loja, Ecuador.
- 39 14. Universidad de Jaen, Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, 23071
  40 Jaen, Spain.
- 41 15. University of Sfax, Faculty of sciences, UR Vegetal Diversity and Arid Land Ecosystems, Route
- 42 de Sokra, kilomètre 3.5, Boîte Postale 802, 3018, Sfax, Tunisia.
- 43 16. Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, 44036-900,
- 44 Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brasil.
- 45 17. Direction Régionale des Eaux et Forêts et de la Lutte Contre la Désertification du Rif, Avenue
- 46 Mohamed 5, Boîte Postale 722, 93000 Tétouan, Morocco.
- 47 18. Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Casilla de Correo 300, 6300 Santa
- 48 Rosa, La Pampa, Argentina.
- 49 19. Instituto de Edafología, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Ciudad
- 50 Universitaria, Caracas, Venezuela.
- 51 20. Departamento de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Universidad
- 52 Nacional de San Juan, J5402DCS Rivadavia, San Juan, Argentina.
- 53 21. Laboratorio de Genómica y Biodiversidad, Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad del
- 54 Bío-Bío, Casilla 447, Chillán, Chile.
- 55 22. Instituto de Ecologia y Biodiversida, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile.
- 56 23. Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Aridas, La Serena, Chile.
- 57 24. Laboratorio de Biogeoquímica, Centro de Agroecología Tropical, Universidad Experimental
- 58 Simón Rodríguez, Apdo 47925, Caracas, Venezuela.
- 59 25. Department of Range and Watershed Management, Faculty of Natural Resources and
  60 Environment, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Azadi Square, Mashhad, 91775–1363, Iran.
- 61 26. Center for Ecosystem Science and Society, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011,
  62 USA.
- 63 27. Department of Evolution, Ecology and Organismal Biology, Ohio State University, 318 West
- 64 12th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.
- 65 28. Université du Québec à Montréal Pavillon des sciences biologiques Département des sciences
- 66 biologiques 141 Président-Kennedy Montréal (Québec) H2X 3Y5 Canada.
- 67 29. Zoology Department, National Museums of Kenya, 78420-00500 Ngara Road, Nairobi, Kenya.
- 68 30. Production Systems and the Environment Sub-Program. International Potato Center. Apartado

69	1558, Lima 12, Peru.		
70	31. Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, School of Environmental and Rural Science,		
71	University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales 2351, Australia.		
72	32. Departamento de Química y Suelos, Decanato de Agronomía, Universidad Centroccidental		
73	"Lisandro Alvarado". Barquisimeto, 3001, Venezuela.		
74	33. Office of Environment and Heritage, Post Office Box 363, Buronga, New South Wales 2739,		
75	Australia.		
76	34. Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, José		
77	Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain.		
78	35. Institute of Grassland Science, Northeast Normal University, Key Laboratory of Vegetation		
79	Ecology, Ministry of Education, Changchun, Jilin 130024, China.		
80	36. Department of Natural Resources. Agriculture Research Organization. Ministry of Agriculture.		
81	Gilat Research Center, Mobile Post Negev 85280, Israel.		
<b>0</b> 7			
82 82	*Author for correspondences Manual Dalgada Requeriza Hawkashury Institute for the		
05 84	Finite Fi		
85	M DelgadoBaquerizo@uws.edu.au		
86	M.DolgadoDaquolizo@uws.odd.au		
87			
88			
89			
90			
91			
92			
93			
94			
95			
96			
97			
98			
99	Abstract		

<sup>100</sup> Aim Although very likely to co-occur in the future, it is largely unknown how simultaneous

101 increases in aridity and anthropogenic disturbances will influence the N cycle in dryland soils, the 102 largest terrestrial biome on the planet. Climate and human impacts are changing the inputs to, and 103 losses from, the nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. However, our knowledge of how the interaction 104 between these drivers will affect the concentration of available N for plants and microorganisms as 105 well as the dominance of N forms is still scarce and no study has yet explored these interactive 106 effects on the N cycle at global scale.

107 Location 224 dryland sites from all continents except Antarctica widely differing in their 108 environmental conditions (from arid to dry-subhumid sites) and human influence (based on distance 109 to towns and roads and population size).

Methods Using a standardized field survey, we measured the plant cover, aridity, human impacts (i.e., proxies of land uses and air pollution), key biophysical variables (i.e., pH, texture and plant cover) as well as six N cycle important variables: total N, organic and inorganic N and N mineralization rates. We use structural equation modeling to assess the direct and indirect effects of aridity and human impacts together with key biophysical variables on the N cycle.

**Results** Human impacts increased the concentration of total N, while aridity decreased it. The effects of aridity and human impacts on the N cycle were spatially disconnected, which may favor N scarcity in the most arid areas and promote N accumulation in the least arid areas. Both increasing aridity and human impacts will enhance the dominance of inorganic N forms.

**Main Conclusions** Our findings provide evidence that human impacts will promote the accumulation of N in dryland soils worldwide, while the opposite effect is observed from increasing aridity. Interestingly, we found that these two global change drivers are spatially disconnected in drylands, favoring N losses in the most arid, and accumulation in the least arid ecosystems. Our analyses suggest that both increasing aridity and human impacts will enhance the relative dominance of inorganic N in drylands soils which may negatively impact key ecosystem functions and services at the global scale.

126

127 Keywords: Aridity, Human impacts, Global change, N cycle, Mineralization,
128 Depolymerization.

- 129
- 130
- 131
- 132
- 133
- 134 Introduction

Human activities such as grazing, fertilization, intensive agriculture and fossil fuel combustion are 135 136 changing the inputs to, and losses from, the nitrogen (N) cycle in terrestrial ecosystems globally 137 (Vitousek et al., 1997; Cui et al., 2013). Anthropogenic N inputs have already doubled the total amount of N fixed naturally by terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Current annual rates of both 138 organic and inorganic N deposition are about 124 Tg N per year (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; 139 140 Schlesinger, 2009; Cornell, 2011). Human pressure on the N cycle is expected to increase during 141 this century because of the predicted increases in global population by 36% over the next 40 years 142 (Charles et al., 2008) and the intensification of land use required to support their demand for food 143 (OECD-FAO 2011), which is estimated to increase by 70-100% by 2050 (World Bank, 2008). For 144 example, human impact such as N deposition derived from fossil fuel combustion and fertilizer 145 production is increasing the availability of N (particularly in inorganic forms) in terrestrial ecosystems (Cui et al., 2013; Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Schlesinger, 2009). 146

147 Paralleling the increase of N inputs derived from human activities is an increase in aridity, predicted to increase the total area of drylands (arid, semi-arid and dry-subhumid ecosystems) 148 149 globally by 10% by the end of this century (Feng & Fu, 2013). Increasing aridity has been predicted to reduce soil N availability in drylands globally and to reduce the pools of organic N in these 150 151 ecosystems (Schlesinger et al., 1990; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013). These changes are predicted 152 to exacerbate processes leading to land degradation and desertification in drylands, which are 153 estimated to affect more than 250 million people, mostly living in developing countries (Reynolds 154 et al., 2007).

155 Human (i.e., air pollution and changes in land use) and climate change impacts are key drivers of ongoing global environmental change (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Schlesinger, 2009; 156 157 Canfield et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2013), and are interrelated in complex ways. These 158 global change drivers may act in opposition, or interact to accelerate their effects on natural 159 communities. . The combined impacts derived from human activities and climate change may create 160 a more arid environment that is also characterized by reduced biological control of the N cycle (as 161 explained in Schlesinger et al., 1990). For instance, direct anthropogenic-driven disturbances (e.g. 162 overgrazing) and increases in aridity may have negative impacts on plant growth in drylands 163 (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013), thereby reducing inputs of organic N 164 in these ecosystems. The human impacts of N cycle have been largely studies at local scale. For 165 example, Baker et al., (2001) concluded that in Phoenix, the urban and agricultural components of 166 the ecosystem were an order of magnitude higher than inputs to the desert, increasing the amount of 167 N in soil and groundwater pools and promoting losses to rivers. Similarly, nutrient enrichment 168 derived from human activities has been also observed to locally enhance N mineralization in the

169 Sonora desert (Hall *et al.*, 2011). However, little is known on how the interaction between 170 increasing aridity and human impacts will affect the concentration of available N for plants and 171 microorganisms as well as the dominance of N forms and no study has yet explored these 172 interactive effects on the N cycle in global drylands.

173

174 Drylands form the largest terrestrial biome on Earth and support over 38% of its population 175 (Reynolds et al., 2007; Schimel, 2010). Nitrogen is, after water, the most important factor limiting 176 net primary production and organic matter decomposition in these areas (Robertson & Groffman, 177 2007; Schlesinger & Bernhardt, 2013). The N cycle is therefore crucial for ecosystem functioning 178 and the provision of ecosystem services in these areas (Robertson & Groffman, 2007; Schlesinger 179 & Bernhardt, 2013; Compton et al., 2011). Knowing how direct and indirect effects from climatic 180 (i.e., aridity), biophysical (i.e., soil texture, pH and plant cover) and anthropogenic (i.e., humaninduced climate change, air pollution and land use changes) drivers jointly impact the N cycle is 181 182 crucial if we are to improve our ability to predict the ecological consequences of climate change for 183 terrestrial ecosystems (Schlesinger et al., 1990, Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Chen et al., 2013).

184 We conducted a global mensurative study of 224 field sites from all continents except Antarctica to 185 evaluate how aridity and human impacts, together with biotic (plant cover) and abiotic (soil texture 186 and pH) factors, will affect total N, dissolved organic N, ammonium and nitrate concentrations, 187 dissolved organic-to-inorganic N (DON:DIN) ratio and the potential net mineralization rate of 188 dryland soils. These variables were selected because they are good proxies of N availability and 189 dominance of N forms within soils (Schimel & Bennett, 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo, 190 2011). We hypothesized that: i) soil total N concentration would be enhanced by human impacts 191 (estimated indirectly using proxies) and decline with aridity (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013); and 192 ii) aridity and human impacts will negatively affect the biological control of the N cycle (e.g., 193 reducing plant cover), resulting in an increasing dominance of inorganic N forms and processes 194 (i.e., mineralization) in dryland soils (Schlesinger et al., 1990).

195

#### 196 Material and Methods

## 197 <u>Study area</u>

This study was restricted to dryland ecosystems, defined as regions with an aridity index (AI = precipitation/potential evapotranspiration) between 0.05 and 0.65 (UNEP 1992). Original field data were collected at 224 sites located in 16 countries from all continents except Antarctica. The sites surveyed encompass a wide variety of vegetation types typically found in drylands, including grasslands, shrublands, savannas, dry seasonal forests and open woodlands dominated by trees. 203 Mean annual precipitation and temperature of the study sites ranged from 66 to 1219 mm and from

-1.8 to 27.8°C, respectively. See Maestre *et al.*, (2012) for additional details on the study sites.

205 <u>Climatic, abiotic, plant and nitrogen variables measured</u>

206 Data collection was carried out between February 2006 and December 2010 according to a 207 standardized sampling protocol. The cover of vascular plants at each site was measured using four 208 30-m transects and the line-intercept method, as described in Maestre et al., (2012). The coordinates 209 of each plot were recorded in situ with a portable Global Positioning System, and were standardized 210 to the WGS84 ellipsoid for visualization and analyses. Aridity (1-aridity index) was estimated using data from the Worldclim global database (Hijmans et al., 2005). Soils (0-7.5 cm depth) were 211 212 sampled during the dry season under the canopy of the dominant perennial plants, and in open 213 plant-free areas (10-15 samples were sampled per site, over 2600 samples in total). After field 214 collection, the soil samples were taken to the laboratory, where they were sieved (2 mm mesh), air-215 dried for one month and stored in this condition until laboratory analyses. All the soil analyses in 216 this study were carried out with air-dry samples for logistical reasons. Previous studies have shown 217 that in drylands such as those we studied, air drying and further storage of soils does not 218 appreciably alter the functions of interest in this study (Zornoza et al., 2006, 2009). It is also 219 important to note that our sampled soils were collected when the soil was in this dry state. Thus, the 220 potential bias induced by our drying treatment is expected to be minimal.

221 Soil texture was measured in two to three composite samples per site, as preliminary analysis 222 revealed that within-site variability was very low. One composite sample each per microsite (open 223 areas or soil under the canopy of the dominant perennial plants) and site were analyzed for sand, 224 clay and silt content according to Kettler et al., (2001). Soil pH was measured in all the soil samples 225 with a pH metre, in a 1: 2.5 mass: volume soil and water suspension. We also measured multiple 226 variables from the nitrogen (N) cycle (total N, mineralization rate, dissolved inorganic N [DIN; sum 227 of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  and DON) as described by Maestre *et al.*, (2012). In brief, soil samples (2.5 gr of 228 soil) were extracted with K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 0.5 M in a ratio 1:5. Soil extracts were shaken in an orbital shaker 229 at 200 rpm for 1 h at 20°C and filtered to pass a 0.45-µm Millipore filter (Jones & Willett, 2006). 230 The filtered extract was kept at 4°C until colorimetric analyses. Using the indophenol blue method 231 (Sims et al., 1995), we estimated concentrations of ammonium and nitrate (colorimetrically) and 232 available N (after potassium persulphate digestion in an autoclave at 121°C over 55 minutes; Sollins 233 et al., 1999). DON was determined as the difference between available N and inorganic N (sum of 234 ammonium and nitrate). The ratio DON:DIN was determined from these data. Regarding potential 235 mineralization rate, air-dried soil samples were re-wetted to reach 80% of their water holding 236 capacity and incubated in the laboratory for 14 days at 30° C (Allen et al., 1986). The potential net

N mineralization rate was estimated as the difference between initial and final inorganic N by following Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo (2011). Total N was obtained using a CN analyzer (Leco CHN628 Series, LECO Corporation, St Joseph, MI, USA). The N variables used here were selected because they are good proxies of N availability and dominance of N forms within soils (Schimel & Bennett 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo, 2011). All of these variables were then averaged to obtain site-level estimates by using the mean values observed in bare ground and vegetated areas, weighted by their respective cover at each site.

## 244 Assessing human impacts

245 Quantitative estimates of the magnitude of human impacts in natural ecosystems at global scales are 246 difficult to obtain due to the lack of available data and the wide range of processes affected by 247 human activities (e.g., N deposition, grazing, soil erosion), their different spatial scales, and the 248 interactions among them (Beelen et al., 2013). We therefore estimated such impacts indirectly by 249 measuring four variables at each study site: average proximity (in km) to the nearest northern, 250 southern, eastern and western paved roads from each plot, average proximity (in km) to the four 251 nearest towns/cities from each plot, average population of the four nearest towns/cities to each plot in the last census available (number of people; Table S1), and population density of the province or 252 region of each plot in the most recent available census (number of people  $km^{-2}$ ; Table S1). Due to 253 the large distances between some of our study sites and the nearest towns/cities, we considered the 254 255 four closest cities to our plots, as an average value of the local human impact. Distances to nearest 256 roads, urban centres and human population are classic proxies of human perturbation on ecosystem 257 health and services (Schlesinger & Harley, 1992; Gill et al., 1996; Drechsel et al., 2001; Liu et al., 258 2010; Beelen et al., 2013). We assumed that the size of the negative effects of humans on the N 259 cycle, such N deposition and/or soil erosion, would be directly related to the distance of each site to 260 the nearest city/town and paved road, or in densely populated areas(Drechsel et al., 2001; Gadsdon 261 & Power, 2009; Gilbert et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Beelen et al., 2013). Similarly, soil N 262 depletion derived from land use changes have been observed to be linked to increasing local human 263 population size (Drechsel et al., 2001; Canfield et al., 2010).

As the four surrogates of human impacts considered were highly correlated, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce them to independent components. Before conducting the PCA, all the human impact proxies were log-transformed to normalize them. We retained the two first components from the PCA for further analyses. These had an eigenvalue higher than 1, and together explained 80.5% of the variance in the PCA. The first component of the PCA (HC1) was highly related to the average distance to the four nearest towns/cities from each plot (Pearson's r = 0.96), average distance to the nearest northern, southern, eastern and western paved roads from each 271 plot (Pearson's r = 0.76) and population density of the province of each plot in the most recent 272 available census (Pearson's r = 0.71). The HC1 was positively related to other indexes of human influence (Fig. S1a) and footprint (Fig. S1b). In addition, our HC1 was positively related to 273 274 estimates of inorganic N deposition (Fig. S2a), and fertilizer application (Fig. S2b), and to the amount of N in livestock manure production (Fig. S2c). Similarly, our HC1 was positively related to 275 276 the percentage land areas used as cropland (Fig. S3a) and to estimates of soil degradation (Fig. 277 S4a). The second component of the PCA (HC2) was highly related to the average population size of 278 the four nearest towns/cities during the most recent census (Pearson's r = 0.90). This component 279 was positively related to the previous human influence and footprint indexes (Fig. S1b). In addition, 280 our HC2 was positively related to estimates of N in manure production (Fig. S2c), soil degradation 281 (Fig. S4a) and infiltration of water, determined at our study sites (Fig. S4b). We acknowledge that 282 variables such as fire frequency (Durán et al., 2009), N deposition (Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2011) 283 and/or grazing intensity (Qiu et al., 2013) at each study site would have provided better estimates of 284 human impacts on the N cycle. However, these data were not available for most countries, as the 285 available historical archives do not have the resolution required to obtain such data at the spatial 286 scale of the sampled plots. Geographic distances were obtained with Google Earth® 287 (www.google.com/earth/index.html), while population data were gathered from official statistics of 288 each country (see Table S1).

# 289 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

290 We used structural equation modeling (SEM) to determine the relative importance of human 291 impacts (HC1 and HC2), aridity, pH, sand content, plant cover and the spatial influence (distance 292 from equator and longitude) on the different N variables evaluated. We first established an a priori 293 model (Fig. S5), based on the known effects and relationships among the drivers of the N cycle 294 (Supplementary Methods S1). Total N, concentrations of ammonium, nitrate and DON, DON:DIN 295 ratios, and pH were log-transformed to improve linearity in the relationships between the variables 296 in our SEM models. Similarly, plant total cover and sand content were square root transformed. We 297 found that all N metrics, sand content and HC1 showed unimodal relationships with aridity. To 298 introduce these second-order polynomial relationships into our SEM model, we calculated the 299 square of aridity and introduced it into our model using a composite variable (Fig. S5). Similarly, 300 the human impact and spatial influence metrics were also included as composite variables. The use 301 of composite variables does not alter the underlying SEM model, but collapses the effects of 302 multiple conceptually-related variables into a single composite effect, aiding interpretation of model 303 results (Grace, 2006). We also examined the distributions of all of our endogenous variables (those 304 with arrows pointing to them within the a priori model structure), and tested their normality.

Because some of the variables introduced were not normally distributed, the probability that a path coefficient differs from zero was tested using bootstrap tests (Schermelleh-Engel *et al.*, 2003). Our *a priori* model structure satisfactorily fitted to our data, as suggested by non-significant  $\chi^2$  values ( $\chi^2$ = 4.740; P = 0.315; *d.o.f* = 4 in all cases), non-parametric Bootstrap P = 0.302 and by values of RMSEA = 0.029 with a P = 0.569.

To aid final interpretation in light of this ability of SEM, we calculated the standardized total effects (direct plus indirect effects from the structural equation model) of human impacts (HC1 and HC2), aridity, pH, sand content, plant cover and spatial influence (longitude and distance from equator) on the selected N metrics (Grace, 2006). The net influence that one variable had upon another was calculated by summing all direct and indirect pathways between two variables. All the SEM analyses were conducted using the software AMOS 20 (IBM SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

Finally, we explored the relationship between the different N variables and human impacts (HC1 and HC2) within each of the studied dryland ecosystems: arid, semiarid and dry-subhumid. By doing this, we wanted to check what dryland ecosystems suffer the highest impact on N cycle derived from human activities. Because our data were not normal, we determined our cross-validate  $R^2$  (CV  $R^2$ ; percent of squared error explained by the model compared to the null model) and *P*values using the A3 package from R (Fortmann-Roe *et al.* 2013).

322

## 323 Results

Sand content, pH and total plant cover in our study ranged from 5.36 to 97.94%, 4.13 to 9.21 and 2.83 to 82.88% respectively (Table S2). Similarly, for the studied N variables, total N ranged from 0.01 to 0.45%, ammonium from 0.82 to 55.86 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, nitrate from 0.00 to 92.07 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, DON from 1.24 to 43.31mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and potential mineralization rate from -2.13 to 5.01 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil day<sup>-1</sup> (Table S2).

329 Aridity was directly and negatively related to soil total N whereas human impacts (HC1 and 330 HC2) were directly positively related to the latter (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, HC1 was negatively 331 related to aridity (Fig 1; Fig. 2), however, aridity and HC2 were unrelated (Fig. 2). Aridity and 332 human impacts, together with sand content, were the most important factors controlling soil total N 333 as shown by the size of their total effects (Fig. 3a). Moreover, the total (direct plus indirect) effect 334 of distance to towns and roads (HC1) and population size (HC2) showed opposite effects on soil 335 total N (Fig. 3a). In absolute terms, however, the impact of HC1 was higher than that of HC2, 336 resulting in a net total positive effect of human impacts on this variable (Fig. 3a).

Increases in both aridity and human impacts were associated to decreases in the DON:DIN
 ratio (Figs. 1b, 2b), and increases on potential net mineralization rates (Figs. 1c, 2c). Our different

surrogates of anthropogenic disturbances (HC1 and HC2) rendered different and opposite relationships with DON and soil nitrate, although both were associated to increasing ammonium concentrations (Fig. 3e). HC1 showed a positive relationship with the concentrations of DON and soil nitrate whereas HC2 was negatively associated with those N variables.

343 Dry-submid were the dryland ecosystem with the highest positive and negative relationship 344 between HC1 and total N and HC1 and DON:DIN ratio, respectively (Fig. 4). However, the 345 opposite effect was observed from HC1 on total N in dry-subhumid ecosystems (Fig. S6). In 346 addition, the dry-submid ecosystems showed the highest positive relationship between HC1 and 347 potential mineralization and nitrate concentration (Fig. 4). Again, the opposite effect was observed 348 from HC2 on nitrate and mineralization for dry-subhumid ecosystems (Fig. S6).

349

#### 350 Discussion

### 351 Global change impacts on soil total N

352 Although human activity should increase the N budget worldwide (Galloway et al., 2008), our 353 results suggest that the increases in aridity forecasted for large areas of the planet will counteract 354 such increment in total N. Of particular interest was the observed negative relationship between 355 aridity and human impacts in our models. This is likely derived from the constraints that aridity, and 356 hence shortage in water availability, generally impose on human activities and urban development 357 (Whitford, 2002; Schwinning & Sala, 2004). In particular, we found a quadratic negative 358 relationship between aridity and HC1. This result suggests that there is a current spatial disconnect 359 between the impacts of aridity, which may favour N losses, and those of human activities, which 360 may favor N accumulation, in different dryland regions (Liu et al., 2012). Thus, at the global scale, 361 the driest regions will tend to become more N limited, but N enhancement due to human activities 362 in the least arid drylands may counteract any trend towards greater N limitation. In addition, aridity 363 and HC2 were unrelated, suggesting that increasing aridity is related to more scattered urban areas 364 (HC1), but do not population density in general (HC2; Mainguet, 1999). We stress that the spatial 365 distribution of our plots did not cover areas where this pattern may not hold, such as large, rapidly -366 growing desert urban areas (e.g. Phoenix or Las Vegas in USA; Kane 2014) or semi-arid areas with 367 intensive agricultural activities (e.g. Almería in SE Spain; Aznar-Sánchez & Galdeano-Gómez, 2011). We also would like to acknowledge the limitations of the observational approach followed, 368 369 however we believe that our study provide a good snapshot of the status of N cycle at a global scale, 370 and show from an integrative point of view how interactive effects derived from aridity and human 371 impacts can globally affect N concentrations and dominance of relative N forms.

### 373 Inorganic N accumulation derived from global change

374 Increasing human impacts and aridity resulted in direct and total negative impacts on the DON:DIN ratio, and a positive direct effect on potential net mineralization rates. Thus, any increase in human 375 376 impacts and aridity derived from global change will lead to a greater dominance of inorganic N forms. This scenario is compatible with both the observed loss of biological control on N cycle 377 derived from climate change suggested by Schlesinger et al., (1990) and Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 378 379 (2013), and the trend to an inorganic N saturation stage predicted by models in terrestrial 380 ecosystems as a consequence of anthropogenic N deposition (Fig. S2a; Gruber & Galloway 2008; 381 Schlesinger, 2009; Chen et al., 2013). An increase in aridity has been suggested to result in a world 382 with a lower net depolymerization rate (DON production) in the most arid areas, likely linked to the 383 low precipitation and plant cover of these environments (Schlesinger et al., 1990), which would 384 increase the dominance of inorganic N forms. This was supported by the direct negative relationship 385 between aridity and DON:DIN found. However, this direct negative effect was counteracted by the 386 indirect positive effects mediated through sand content and pH, both increasing the ratio DON:DIN 387 (Fig. 1b). As a consequence of the interplay between direct negative and indirect positive effects, 388 the total effect of aridity on the dominance of dissolved organic versus inorganic N forms was 389 negligible (Fig. 2b). Conversely, proximity to human populations (HC1) was the most important 390 factor controlling the DON:DIN ratio as shown by its total effect size, which was greater than for 391 any other factors evaluated (Fig. 2b). This decrease in the DON:DIN ratio with increasing human 392 impact may be driven by the increase of inorganic N inputs linked to human activities such as 393 fertilizer production, accumulation of livestock wastes and fossil fuel combustion in the vicinity of 394 our sites (Dentener et al., 2006; Cornell, 2011). An increase in inorganic N in soils may have a 395 negative impact on the functioning and services provided by drylands worldwide. For example, 396 Delgado-Baquerizo et al., (2013b) found that inorganic N inputs were negatively linked to 397 microbial functional diversity and N depolymerization (production of DON), and may also reduce 398 the organic N uptake by plants and microorganisms in these ecosystems (Warren, 2009).

399 Shifts in the different N forms derived from human impacts

The relatively strong total positive relationship between HC1 and DON concentrations may suggest that atmospheric deposition of organic N, which has rarely been considered a significant source of atmospheric N (Cornell *et al.*, 2011), may be affecting DON concentration in dryland soils. In addition, HC1 was positively related to the concentrations of soil nitrate and ammonium, suggesting the importance of both reduced and oxidized N deposition in global drylands. Because our sites are not located in agricultural areas, the effect of highly populated towns surrounding our plots (HC2) should be related more to the use of these drylands for grazing and wood harvesting than to more 407 intensive human uses. Overgrazing can lead to losses of soil organic matter and nutrients through 408 the conversion of semiarid grasslands to arid shrublands (Schlesinger et al., 1990). However, HC2 409 was positively related to N in manure production at the global scale (Fig. S2c). This constitutes one 410 of the most important sources of reduced N to the atmosphere (Bouwman et al., 2011), and may explain why the observed negative effect of HC2 on DON and nitrate by intensive agriculture is not 411 412 found with ammonium. Intensive land management may result in DON and nitrate leaching into 413 streams and the groundwater, which may pollute them (Gruber & Galloway 2008; Schlesinger 414 2009; Chen et al., 2013). However, both HC1 and HC2 were positively related to the concentration 415 of ammonium in soil (Fig. 2e). Ammonium is one of the most common N sources associated with 416 human activities, as intensive agriculture and livestock are significant sources (Anderson et al., 417 2003; Clarisse et al., 2009; Canfield et al., 2010). Increases in the concentration of soil ammonium 418 with increasing human impacts in this study suggest that at least a part of the ammonium present in dryland soils may come from human-derived activities. Overall, this increase in soil ammonium 419 420 concentrations may increase the potential of N to cross ecosystem boundaries by ammonia 421 volatilization or through ammonium conversion to nitrate followed by leaching from soil, all of 422 which are common phenomena in drylands and may cause eutrophication and reduce water quality 423 (Schlesinger et al., 1990; Schlesinger & Harley, 1992; Robertson & Groffman, 2007; Ravishankara 424 et al., 2009). For example, as processes such as nitrification usually require small amounts of water 425 (Schwinning & Sala 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013c), the accumulation of ammonium in the 426 less arid drylands may quickly promote its conversion to nitrate after even small rainfall events 427 (Schwinning & Sala, 2004). Our study supports this, as we observed an increase in the potential net nitrification rate in our soils with increasing ammonium (P < 0.001; Fig. S7). The overall 428 429 dominance of inorganic forms of N resulting from increasing aridity and human impacts may 430 enhance nitrification and denitrification rates in drylands, (e.g. releasing N<sub>2</sub>O; Schlesinger et al., 431 2009; Canfield *et al.*, 2010), potentially enhancing the emission of greenhouse gases from these 432 ecosystems.

433

### 434 Conclusions

Our findings provide evidence that human impacts promote the accumulation of N in dryland soils worldwide, but that these effects are offset by increases in aridity. We also found that these two global change drivers are spatially disconnected in drylands, favoring N losses in the most arid, and accumulation in the least arid ecosystems. Our analyses indicate that both increasing aridity and human impacts linked to the intensity of anthropogenic disturbance will enhance the inorganic control of the N cycle in drylands soils. This increase in inorganic N dominance in dryland soils 441 may have negative effects on key ecosystem functions (e.g. microbial functionality) and services 442 (e.g. quality of water and air) at the global scale, and may enhance the emission of important 443 greenhouse gases such as  $N_2O$ .

444

### 445 Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European
Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement n° 242658
(BIOCOM), and by the Ministry of Science and Innovation of the Spanish Government, Grant n°
CGL2010-21381. CYTED funded networking activities (EPES, Acción 407AC0323). S.G. was
funded by CONICYT/FONDAP/15110009.

451

### 452 **References**

- 453 Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Rowland AP (1986) *Chemical analysis. Methods in plant ecology.*454 Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, UK.
- Anderson N, Strader R, Davidson C (2003) Airborne reduced nitrogen ammonia emissions
   from agriculture and other sources. *Environment International*, 29, 277-289.
- 457 Aznar-Sánchez JA, Galdeano-Gómez E (2011) Territory, Cluster and Competitiveness of the
  458 Intensive Horticulture in Almería, Spain. *Open Geography Journal*, 4, 103-114.
- Bai E *et al.* (2013) A meta-analysis of experimental warming effects on terrestrial nitrogen pools
  and dynamics. *New Phytologist*, **199**, 441-451.
- 461 Beelen R *et al.* (2013) Development of  $NO_2$  and  $NO_x$  land use regression models for estimating air 462 pollution exposure in 36 study areas in Europe - The ESCAPE project. *Atmospheric* 463 *Environment*, **72**, 10-23.
- 464 Bouwman L et al. (2011) Exploring global changes in nitrogen and phosphorus cycles in
- 465 agriculture induced by livestock production over the 1900–2050 period. *Proceedings of the*466 *National Academy of Sciences USA* 10.1073/pnas., 1012878108.
- 467 Canfield DE, Glazer AN, Falkowski PG (2010) The evolution and future of Earth's
  468 nitrogen cycle. *Science*, **330**, 192–196.
- Charles H *et al.* (2010) Food Security, The Challenge of Feeding 9 Billion People. *Science*, 327,
  812-818.
- 471 Chen H *et al.* (2013) The impacts of climate change and human activities on biogeochemical
- 472 cycles on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 2940–2955.
- 473 Clarisse L, Clerbaux C, Dentener F, Hurtmans D, Coheur, P-F (2009) Global ammonia
- distribution derived from infrared satellite observations. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 479-483.

- 475 Compton JE *et al.* (2011) Ecosystem services altered by human changes in the nitrogen cycle, a
  476 new perspective for US decision making. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 804-15.
- 477 Cornell SE (2011) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition, Revisiting the question of the importance of
  478 the organic component. *Environmental Pollution*, **159**, 2214-2222.
- 479 Cui S *et al.* (2013) Centennial-scale analysis of the creation and fate of reactive nitrogen in China
  480 1910-2010. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* doi,
  481 10.1073/pnas.1221638110.
- 482 Delgado-Baquerizo M, Gallardo A (2011) Depolymerization and mineralization rates at 12
  483 Mediterranean sites with varying soil N availability A test for the Schimel Bennett model.
  484 Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 43, 693–696.
- 485 Delgado-Baquerizo M *et al.* (2013a) Decoupling of nutrient cycles as a function of aridity in
  486 global dryland soils. *Nature*, **502**, 672–676.
- 487 Delgado-Baquerizo M *et al.* (2013b) Biocrusts control the nitrogen dynamics and microbial
- 488 functional diversity of semi-arid soils in response to nutrient additions. *Plant Soil*, **372**, 643489 654.
- 490 Delgado-Baquerizo M *et al.* (2013c) Biological soil crusts promote N accumulation in response
  491 to dew events in dryland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **62**, 22-27.
- 492 Dentener F *et al.* (2006) Nitrogen and sulfur deposition on regional and global scales, A
   493 multimodel evaluation. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 20, doi, 10.1029/2005GB002672.
- 494 Drechsel P *et al.* (2001) Population density, soil nutrient depletion, and economic growth in sub495 Saharan Africa. *Ecological Economics*, 38, 251–258.
- 496 Durán JA *et al.* (2009) Changes in net N mineralization rates and soil N and P pools in a pine
  497 forest wildfire chronosequence. *Biology and Fertility Soils*, 45, 781-788.
- Gadsdon SR, Power SA. 2009) Quantifying local traffic contributions to NO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>
   concentrations in natural habitats. *Environmental Pollution*, **157**, 2845–2852.
- Galloway JN *et al.* (2008) Trends, Questions, and Potential Solutions Transformation. *Science*,
  320, 889-892.
- Gilbert NL *et al.* (2007) The influence of highway traffic on ambient nitrogen dioxide
  concentrations beyond the immediate vicinity of highways. *Atmospheric Environment*, **41**,
  2670–2673.
- Gill JA, Sutherland WJ, Watkinson AR (1996) A method to quantify the effects of human
  disturbance on animal populations. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 33, 786-792.
- 507 Gruber N, Galloway JN. 2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. *Nature*,
  508 451, 293–296.

- Feng S, Fu Q (2013) Expansion of global drylands under a warming climate. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 10081-10094.
- 511 Finzi AC et al. (2011) Coupled biochemical cycles, Responses and feedbacks of coupled
- biogeochemical cycles to climate change, examples from terrestrial ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment*, 9, 61–67.
- 514 Grace JB (2006) *Structural Equation Modeling*. Natural Systems Cambridge University Press,
   515 New York, USA.
- Hijmans RJ *et al.* (2005) Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas.
   *International Journal of Climatology*, 25, 1965-1978.
- Jones DL, Willett VB (2006) Experimental evaluation of methods to quantify dissolved organic
  nitrogen DON and dissolved organic carbon DOC in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 38,
  991-999.
- Kane K *et al.* 2014) A spatio-temporal view of historical growth in downtown Phoenix, Arizona. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, **121**, 70-80.
- Kettler TA, Doran JW, Gilbert TL (2001) Simplified method for soil particle-size determination
   to accompany soil-quality analyses. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 65, 849
- Liu J *et al.* (2010) A high-resolution assessment on global nitrogen flows in cropland. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **107**, 8035–8040.
- 527 Maestre FT *et al.* 2012) Plant species richness ecosystem multifunctionality in global drylands.
   528 *Science*, 335, 214-218.
- 529 Mainguet M (1999) Aridity, Droughts and Human Development Springer, NY, USA.
- 530 OECD/FAO 2011. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2011-2020, OECD Publishing and
   531 FAO. http,//dx.doi.org/10.1787/agr\_outlook-2011-en.
- 532 Peñuelas J *et al.* (2012) The human-induced imbalance between C, N and P in Earth's life
  533 system. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 3–6.
- Qiu L *et al.* (2013) Ecosystem Carbon and Nitrogen Accumulation after Grazing Exclusion in
   Semiarid Grassland. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e55433. doi,10.1371/journal.pone.0055433.
- Ravishankara AR, Daniel JS, Portmann RW (2009) Nitrous oxide N<sub>2</sub>O, The dominant
   ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science*, 326,123–125.
- Reynolds JF. *et al.* (2007) Global desertification, Building a science for dryland development. *Science*, **316**, 847–851.
- Robertson, G.P., Groffman, P (2007) Soil Microbiology, *Biochemistry, and Ecology*. Springer,
  New York, New York, USA.

- 542 Schermelleh-Engel K, Moosbrugger KH, Müller H (2003) Evaluating the fit of structural
- equation models, tests of significance descriptive goodness-of-fit measures. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, 8, 23-74.
- Schimel JP, Bennett J (2004) Nitrogen mineralization, challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology*, **85**, 591-602.
- 547 Schimel DS. (2010) Drylands in the earth system. *Science*, **327**, 418-419.
- 548 Schlesinger W.H *et al.* (1990) Biological Feedbacks in Global Desertification. *Science*, 247,
  549 1043-1048.
- Schlesinger WH, Harley PC (1992) A global budget for atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub>. *Biogeochemistry*,
  15, 191-211.
- Schlesinger WH (2009) On the fate of anthropogenic nitrogen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **106**,
  203–208.
- Schlesinger WH, Bernhardt ES (2013) *Biogeochemistry, an analysis of global change*.
  Academic Press, CA, USA.
- Schwinning S, Sala OE (2004) Hierarchy of responses to resource pulses in arid and semi-arid
  ecosystems. *Oecologia*, **141**, 211–20.
- Sims GK, Ellsworth TR, Mulvaney RL (1995) Microscale determination of inorganic nitrogen in
   water and soil extracts. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 26, 303–316.
- 560 Sollins P, Glassman C, Paul EA, Swantston C, Lajtha K, Heil JW, Ellikott ET (1999) Soil
- 561 *carbon and nitrogen: Pools and fraction. Standard Soil Methods for Long-Term Ecological* 562 *Research.* Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 563 UNEP 2012) United Nations Environment Programme World Atlas of Desertification. Edward
   564 Arnold, London, UK.
- Vitousek PM *et al.* (1997) Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle, Sources and
  consequences. *Ecological Applications*, 7, 737–750.
- Warren CR (2009) Does nitrogen concentration affect relative uptake rates of nitrate, ammonium,
  and glycine?. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **172**, 224-229.
- 569 Whitford WG (2002) Ecology of Desert Systems. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- 570 World Bank (2008) *World Development Report, Agriculture for Development*. World Bank,
  571 Washington, DC.
- 572 Zornoza R. *et al.* (2006) Assessing air-drying and rewetting pre-treatment effect on some soil
- 573 enzyme activities under Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **38**, 2125
- 574 Zornoza R. *et al.* (2009) Storage effects on biochemical properties of air-dried soil samples from
  575 southeastern Spain. *Arid Land Restauration and Management*, 23, 213

5	7	6
$\mathcal{I}$	1	U

#### 577 Supporting Information legends

- 578 Supplementary information can be found in the online version of this article
- 579 Supplementary Methods S1. Analyzing our structural equation model: rationale for the variables
- 580 included.
- 581 Figure S1. Relationships between our human impacts and previous human impact indices.
- 582 Figure S2. Relationships between our human impacts and global inorganic N deposition, N
- 583 fertilizer application and the N in manure production.
- Figure S3. Relationships between our human impacts and global land area used as cropland andpasture.
- 586 Figure S4. Relationships between our human impacts and the global human-induced soil
- 587 degradation, and field assessed infiltration and stability.
- 588 Figure S5. A priori generic structural equation model (SEM) used in this study.
- 589 Figure S6. Relationships between aridity and our human impacts in this study.
- 590 **Figure S7.** Relationship between ammonium concentration and the potential net nitrification rate.
- 591 **Table S1.** Information about the population data used to estimate human impacts at our study sites.
- 592
- 593
- 594
- 595
- 596
- 597
- 071
- 598
- 599
- 600
- 601
- 602

### 603 Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Effects of aridity (blue arrows), human impacts (red arrows), pH, sand content, plant cover and spatial influence (grey arrows) on: total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), mineralization rate (c), DON (d),  $NH_4^+$  (e) and  $NO_3^-$  (f). Numbers adjacent to arrows indicative of the effect size of the relationship. Continuous and dashed arrows indicate positive and negative relationships, respectively. R<sup>2</sup> denotes the proportion of variance explained. For graphical simplicity, factors influencing human impacts are: a. Spatial  $\rightarrow$  HC1 = 0.13, Spatial  $\rightarrow$  HC2 = -0.35\*\*\*; b. Sand  $\rightarrow$ 

- 610 HC1 = -0.05, Sand  $\rightarrow$  HC2 = -0.16\*\*; c. pH  $\rightarrow$  HC1 = 0.34, pH  $\rightarrow$  HC2 = -0.37\*\*; d. Composite
- 611 aridity  $\rightarrow$  HC1 = -0.43\*\*\*, Aridity  $\rightarrow$  HC2 = 0.28\*\*. Significance levels are as follows: \**P* < 0.05,
- 612 \*\* P < 0.01 and \*\*\* P < 0.001.

**Figure 2.** Relationships between aridity (1- aridity index) and the first (a; HC1) and second (b; HC2) components of a principal component analysis from four proxies of human impacts: proximity to urban areas, paved roads, population density and population size. The fitted lines correspond to quadratic (a) and (b) linear models. Because our data were not normal, we determined our cross-validate R2 (CV R2; percent of squared error explained by the model compared to the null model) and P-values using the A3 package from R (Fortmann-Roe et al. 2013).

Figure 3. Standardized total effects (direct plus indirect effects) derived from the structural equation modeling, including the effects of aridity (Aridity), percentage of sand (sand), pH, plant cover (Plant), distance from equator (DE) and longitude (LON) and human impact (HC1 and HC2) on the total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), potential mineralization rate (c), DON (d) NH4+ (e) and NO3- (f).

**Figure 4.** Relationships between the HC1 component and the different N variables: total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), potential net mineralization (c), DON (d), ammonium (e) and nitrate (f) for each of the studied dryland ecosystems: arid (n = 53), semiarid (n = 142) and dry-subhumid (n = 626 | 29).







631 Figure 2





